

CENTER FOR
Gender & Refugee
STUDIES

Protecting Refugees • Advancing Human Rights

Factsheet: The COVID-19 Pandemic and Closing the Border to Asylum Seekers
(last updated May 21, 2020)

For over three years, the Trump Administration has implemented a series of cruel and illegal policies limiting access to asylum for those who seek safety in the United States. The Administration is now using the COVID-19 pandemic as a pretext to effectively shut down asylum at the southern border, in violation of both U.S. and international law. This policy of “expelling” asylum seekers has put countless lives at risk. This factsheet details why closing the border hurts asylum seekers and does not protect public health, and how advocates are responding.

How is the Trump Administration using the COVID-19 pandemic to expel asylum seekers?

On March 20, 2020 the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) issued an [interim final rule](#) and an [order](#), which was [extended indefinitely](#) on May 19, limiting the entry of certain persons into the United States for public health reasons. Following suit, the Department of Homeland Security (DHS) issued [restrictions](#) limiting non-essential travel. While there is no mention of asylum seekers in any of these documents, it is DHS’s position that they are not considered to be engaged in essential travel. Furthermore, leaked Customs and Border Protection (CBP) [guidance](#) revealed that border officials have been instructed to return asylum seekers apprehended along the southern border to Mexico or other countries without providing any due process protections. According to the guidance, only when an asylum seeker spontaneously expresses a fear of torture will border officials then determine if such fear is “reasonably believable.” If the officer’s determination is positive, then they must get the approval of a superior officer before allowing the asylum seeker to enter the United States. This procedure makes it nearly impossible for asylum seekers to access protection in this country.

Why is the policy illegal and what has been the result?

Asylum seekers have a right to seek protection that is guaranteed by U.S. and international law and is incorporated in longstanding expedited removal procedures for undocumented persons at the border. However, the procedure set forth in CBP’s guidance fails to ensure that the United States abides by its international and domestic obligations, particularly those contained in the 1967 Protocol Relating to the Status of Refugees, implemented in U.S. law in the Refugee Act of 1980, and the 1984 Convention Against Torture, implemented into U.S. law in the Foreign Affairs Reform and Restructuring Act of 1998. The policy violates existing law by not requiring border officials to inquire why people are seeking to enter the United States, or if they fear harm if returned. It also fails to make an exception for children traveling alone, which is a violation of the Trafficking Victims Protection Reauthorization Act. Since the launch of the policy, DHS has summarily [expelled](#) over 20,000 people, including hundreds of vulnerable children. Of that number, only 59 people have been allowed even to request asylum, and only two of them have been permitted to enter the United States to pursue their claim for protection.

How are advocates combating this policy and what can you do to help?

CGRS supports the adoption of all necessary measures to reduce the spread of COVID-19. However, [medical experts](#) emphasize that closing the border to asylum seekers has no sound [public health rationale](#) and is unnecessarily endangering the lives of thousands fleeing countries where extreme levels of violence are well documented. The World Health Organization and the United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees have [stressed](#) that it is possible for governments to put in place targeted and reasonable measures, such as screening or temporary limitations on movement, to protect both their own populations and those seeking asylum.

Concerned Members of both the [Senate](#) and the [House](#) of Representatives have called upon DHS and CDC to explain the legal reasoning behind the decision to close the border, including the failure to abide by U.S. law protecting [children traveling alone](#). The Administration's response makes it clear not only that the [legal rationale](#) for the policy was crafted *after* it was implemented, but also that the government is unable or unwilling to explain how this action comports with existing law.

Joined by over 180 organizations from across the country, on April 16, 2020 CGRS sent a [letter](#) to DHS calling on the Administration to rescind the policy, highlighting the heightened risks it creates for survivors of gender-based violence, particularly given the worldwide surge in domestic violence that has resulted from pandemic-related isolation measures. We also submitted a [comment](#) in response to the CDC's interim final rule, setting forth legal and policy arguments against closing the border to asylum seekers. CGRS has compiled a bilingual [list of resources](#) for immigrants and asylum seekers, as well as action tools and opportunities for advocates to provide support. You can join us in calling on DHS to keep our doors open to those seeking refuge in the United States by signing CGRS's petition [here](#).